

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,457	12/08/2003	Bethan O'Neill	ONB-101-A	2921	
7590 05/08/2007 Thomas D. Helmholdt Young & Basile, P.C. Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road			EXAMINER		
			BOLES, DEREK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 4808		3749			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ł	
eation No.	Applicant(s)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,457	O'NEILL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek S. Boles	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ne 2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	•	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/730,457

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen et al. (3,159,165). See figs. 2 and 7, 40 for the air conditioning unit, 10 for the wall panel of flexible material and 60/70a for the sleeve. Regarding portability of the claims see col. 1, lines 12-14. Regarding the broad recitation of frame support, see 41. Element 41 is a door frame, on which the flexible material rests, therefore it is considered to support the flexible material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 2-4, 7, 10, 12-14, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. in view of Meyer et al. (2,939,467). Cohen et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position. Meyer et al. discloses the presence of a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position.

See fig. 3. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Cohen et

Page 3

al. to include the a flap of flexible material wherein the flap is movable between a storage position and a closed position of Meyer et al. for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Claims 6, 9, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. It is well-known in the art of HVAC to design a sleeve with an elastic periphery.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the features of a sleeve with an elastic periphery into the system of Cohen for the purpose of protection against the elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 15 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872 or supervisory patent examiner Kenneth Rinehart at (571) 272-4881.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9467 (pall-free).

D.S.B.

DEREKS, BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

4/16/07